**[10378: real: pos ; predicted: neg]**

**“rien a dire si ce n'est que too $hort et ses potes �a claque c'est tout”**

Review is in french and has special characters. Therefore it won’t have enough training data to make a proper assessment.

**[ 9629: real: neg ; predicted: pos ]**

**“the cd came as promised and in the condition promised . i 'm very satisfied”**

Some reviews, like the one above, will say only positive comments. However the actual review will be negative.

**[ 11910: real: neg ; predicted: pos ]**

**“the story here dose n't matter . the main characters fuse into a missle of meth and insanity towards an explosive ending . scwartzman escapes his fate temporarily and murphy is blessed with a reprieve but rourke pays the full price for his evil concoction , meth . quirky directing and film editing can be somewhat distracting but not meaningless . roberts is so gay you want to smack his face . he an rourke have history on film and play out their familiarity . rourke is phenomonal again as an immoral genius , a role he plays better than anyone today in film . br”**

This review was predicted positive for all three models, although it should have been negative. The reviewer flips between saying good and bad comments about the film, therefore making it difficult to predict. This review also contains spelling mistakes, which may impact the results.

**[ 11911: real: pos ; predicted: neg ]**

**“i liked everything about this product except it is a little too slow at times . i always shoot with nikon raw format . it has hung a couple of times and i had to kill it after waiting for 10-15 minutes .”**

Although this is a positive review, the review mostly consists of negative comments. This increases the amount of negative tokens and increases the likelihood of predicting a negative review.

**[11905: real: neg ; predicted: pos]**

**“if you think you 'd find a lot of unrelated platitudes interesting , then you 'll enjoy this book .”**

This one has been misclassified because the classifier does not understand sarcasm. The content of the document contains only positive key words with the possible exception of the word 'unrelated'. The classifier then classified it as positive, while a person would correctly identify the sarcasm and classify it as negative

**[11872: real: pos ; predicted: neg]**

**“was n't sure what to expect when i 1st tried this two-part microdermabrasion & peel kit . I use it twice a week , and what a difference it has made on my skin . the only thing is , i wish they made a bigger bottle of the peel . mariann”**

The classifier identified this as negative probably because of the last phrase where the writer wishes for something to happen. However it's positive because of the implied implication of the section "what a difference it has made on my skin". This implies that it was a positive difference but the classifier can't identify that and can only find negative keywords (wish).